



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/687,450	10/13/2000	Namik Hrle	STL000048US1	1339
23373	7590 03/29/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			WU, YICUN	
SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2165	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/687,450	HRLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yicun Wu	2165			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	ON. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 January 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.	•			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· · _	4) Claim(s) 1-90 is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	. '					
,						
7)🖂						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
	on Papers					
· ·	·	_				
,	The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Evenines			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receiv	ved in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
			•			
Attachment	s(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims objected to are 5-12, 14-16, 19-20, 22-25, 27-28, 35-42, 44-46, 49-50, 52-54, 57-58, 65-72, 74-76, 79-80,82-85 and 87-88.

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-90 are presented for examination.

Response to Applicant' Remarks

- Applicant argues:
- (1) "the begin value and end value of Haderle do not teach or suggest the claimed target time and backup time.

Examiner disagree.

With respect to the 1st argument, the Examiner consider "backup time" is read on by "begin value" since the begin value has a time; and target time is read on by "end value" since the end value has a time. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2165

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-4, 13, 17-18, 21, 26, 29-34, 43, 47-48, 51, 55-56, 59-64, 73, 77-78, 81, 86 and 89-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated over Haderle et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750).

As to Claims 1, 31 and 61, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method of recovering data in a database of a database system stored in a datastore connected to a computer, the method comprising:

scanning a database log, wherein the database log records activities related to the database (col. 4, lines 17-27); and

identifying one or more individual objects to be recovered to a target time with reference to a backup time (col. 4, lines 17-27).

As to Claims 2, 32 and 62, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method wherein the target time is user-defined (user-defined is well known in the art).

Art Unit: 2165

As to Claims 3, 33, and 63, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method wherein the backup time is user-defined (user-defined is well known in the art).

As to Claims 4, 34 and 64, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method further comprising analyzing the database log to detect when a unit of recovery begins and when the unit of recovery ends (col. 4, lines 17-27).

As to Claims 13, 43 and 73, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method wherein a backup is taken (col. 4, lines 17-27).

As to Claims 17, 47 and 77, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method further comprising flushing cache data to disk (i.e. checkpoint. col. 4, lines 49-60).

As to Claims 18, 48 and 78, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method further comprising truncating the database log at the target time (i.e. checkpoint. col. 4, lines 49-60).

As to Claims 21, 51 and 81, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method further comprising restoring the identified objects (col. 4, lines 17-60).

Art Unit: 2165

As to Claims 26, 56 and 86, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method further comprising optimizing the identified objects by restoring a volume of the datastore and recovering corresponding objects (col. 4, lines 17-60).

As to Claims 29, 59 and 89, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method wherein the one or more individual objects to be recovered to a target time are recovered from a current time (col. 4, lines 17-60).

As to Claims 30, 60 and 90, <u>Haderle et al.</u> discloses a method wherein the current time represents at time at which the database system crashed (col. 4, lines 17-60).

Allowable subject Matter

5. Claims 5-12, 14-16, 19-20, 22-25, 27- 28, 35-42, 44-46, 49
-50, 52-54, 57-58, 65-72, 74-76, 79-80, 82-85 and 87-88 are
objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but
would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including
all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening
claims.

Art Unit: 2165

6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is not recovered when the unit of recovery accessing that object ends before the target time and there are no pending writes for the object, as claimed in claim 5.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the</u> claims):

an object is recovered if the unit of recovery begins before the target time, and wherein the unit of recovery ends after the target time but before a current time, wherein the current time represents when object data is recorded to the database, as claimed in claim 9.

Art Unit: 2165

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the</u> claims):

an object is recovered if the unit of recovery begins after the target time, and wherein the unit of recovery ends before a current time, wherein the current time represents when object data is recorded to the database, as claimed in claim 12.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

the backup occurs prior to the target time and further comprising restoring data without restoring the database log , as claimed in claim 14.

Art Unit: 2165

the backup occurs after the target time and further comprising restoring data and optionally restoring the database log, as claimed in claim 15.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

restarting the database system with a conditional restart with defer all option, as claimed in claim 16.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

disabling access to the database and restarting the database system, wherein restarting detects uncommitted units of recovery, as claimed in claim 19.

Art Unit: 2165

determining whether the database log should be applied to the restored objects to update the identified objects with current object data , as claimed in claim 22.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

optimizing the identified objects by grouping the identified objects, wherein the grouped objects have backups residing on the same volume of the datastore, as claimed in claim 27.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is associated with different units of recovery, wherein one or more units of recovery require different levels of processing, and wherein the object is recovered utilizing the highest level of processing, as claimed in claim 28.

Art Unit: 2165

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is not recovered when the unit of recovery accessing that object ends before the target time and there are no pending writes for the object, as claimed in claim 35.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is recovered if the unit of recovery begins before the target time, and wherein the unit of recovery ends after the target time but before a current time, wherein the current time represents when object data is recorded to the database, as claimed in claim 39.

Art Unit: 2165

an object is recovered if the unit of recovery begins after the target time, and wherein the unit of recovery ends before a current time, wherein the current time represents when object data is recorded to the database, as claimed in claim 42.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the</u> claims):

the backup occurs prior to the target time and further comprising restoring data without restoring the database log , as claimed in claim 44.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

the backup occurs after the target time and further comprising restoring data and optionally restoring the database log, as claimed in claim 45.

Art Unit: 2165

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

restarting the database system with a conditional restart with defer all option, as claimed in claim 46.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

disabling access to the database and restarting the database system, wherein restarting detects uncommitted units of recovery, as claimed in claim 49.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

determining whether the database log should be applied to the restored objects to update the identified objects with current object data , as claimed in claim 52.

Art Unit: 2165

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

optimizing the identified objects by grouping the identified objects, wherein the grouped objects have backups residing on the same volume of the datastore, as claimed in claim 57.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is associated with different units of recovery, wherein one or more units of recovery require different levels of processing, and wherein the object is recovered utilizing the highest level of processing, as claimed in claim 58.

Art Unit: 2165

an object is not recovered when the unit of recovery accessing that object ends before the target time and there are no pending writes for the object, as claimed in claim 65.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is recovered if the unit of recovery begins before the target time, and wherein the unit of recovery ends after the target time but before a current time, wherein the current time represents when object data is recorded to the database, as claimed in claim 69.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is recovered if the unit of recovery begins after the target time, and wherein the unit of recovery ends before a current time, wherein the current time represents when object data is recorded to the database, as claimed in claim 72.

Art Unit: 2165

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

the backup occurs prior to the target time and further comprising restoring data without restoring the database log , as claimed in claim 74.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

the backup occurs after the target time and further comprising restoring data and optionally restoring the database log, as claimed in claim 75.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

restarting the database system with a conditional restart with defer all option, as claimed in claim 76.

Art Unit: 2165

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

disabling access to the database and restarting the database system, wherein restarting detects uncommitted units of recovery, as claimed in claim 79.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

determining whether the database log should be applied to the restored objects to update the identified objects with current object data , as claimed in claim 82.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

optimizing the identified objects by grouping the identified objects, wherein the grouped objects have backups

Art Unit: 2165

residing on the same volume of the datastore, as claimed in claim 87.

The prior art of record <u>Haderle et al.</u> (U. S. Patent No. 5,581,750) does not disclose, teach or suggest the claimed limitations of (<u>in combination with all other features in the claims</u>):

an object is associated with different units of recovery, wherein one or more units of recovery require different levels of processing, and wherein the object is recovered utilizing the highest level of processing, as claimed in claim 88.

Art Unit: 2165

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL, Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory- period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply-expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2165

Points of contact

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yicun Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-4087. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am to 4:30 pm, Monday -Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Yicun Wu Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

JERVISORY PATENT FXAMINE

March 23, 2006